

## *10. Growth-Inducing Impacts of the Proposed Project*

---

Pursuant to Sections 15126(d) and 15126.2(d) of the CEQA Guidelines, this section is provided to examine ways in which the proposed project could foster economic or population growth, or the construction of additional housing, either directly or indirectly, in the surrounding environment. Also required is an assessment of other projects that would foster other activities that could affect the environment, individually or cumulatively. To address this issue, potential growth-inducing effects will be examined through analysis of the following questions:

- Would this project remove obstacles to growth, e.g., through the construction or extension of major infrastructure facilities that do not presently exist in the project area, or through changes in existing regulations pertaining to land development?
- Would this project result in the need to expand one or more public services to maintain desired levels of service?
- Would this project encourage or facilitate economic effects that could result in other activities that could significantly affect the environment?
- Would approval of this project involve some precedent-setting action that could encourage and facilitate other activities that could significantly affect the environment?



Please note that growth-inducing effects are not to be construed as necessarily beneficial, detrimental, or of little significance to the environment. This issue is presented to provide additional information on ways in which this project could contribute to significant changes in the environment, beyond the direct consequences of developing the land use concept examined in the preceding sections of this EIR.

### **Would this project remove obstacles to growth, e.g., through the construction or extension of major infrastructure facilities that do not presently exist in the Project Area, or through changes in existing regulations pertaining to land development?**

The proposed project would not intensify the land use and would not involve the construction or extension of infrastructure facilities. The proposed project consists of improvements to the existing 109th Street Recreation Center, and its implementation would not cause any changes to be made to existing regulations pertaining to land development. The proposed project does not contain any elements that would be considered obstacles of growth and therefore would not be growth inducing with respect to removal of such an obstacle.

### **Would this project result in the need to expand one or more public services to maintain desired levels of service?**

As discussed in the Initial Study (Appendix A), the proposed project would not increase demand for any public services, including police and fire protection, parks, schools, or libraries. The proposed project consists of the replacement of an antiquated swimming pool and bathhouse with a new aquatic facility of the same purpose and capacity. The new amenities would be within the same footprint of the existing facility and within the confines of the existing 109th Street Recreation Center. The proposed project would not increase

## *10. Growth-Inducing Impacts of the Proposed Project*

---

the population of the area or demand for public services. The proposed project would not be growth-inducing with respect to the expansion of public services.

### **Would this project encourage or facilitate economic effects that could result in other activities that could significantly affect the environment?**

During the proposed construction activities, a slight increase in construction-related jobs would be created from project construction efforts. However, the economic effects would be minor and temporary. As a result, the proposed project would not be growth-inducing with respect to economic activities.

### **Would approval of this project involve some precedent-setting action that could encourage and facilitate other activities that could significantly affect the environment?**

The proposed project consists of improvements to the 109th Street Recreation Center. This action would not promote growth since it involves improvements to an existing recreational facility. Pressures to develop other land in the surrounding area would derive from regional economic conditions and market demands for housing, commercial, and industrial land uses that are not directly or indirectly influenced by the proposed project. Approval of the proposed project would not, therefore, involve a precedent-setting action that could be applied to other properties and thereby encourage or facilitate growth that would not otherwise occur.